

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

<sup>*PT*</sup> symmetric non-self-adjoint operators, diagonalizable and non-diagonalizable, with a real discrete spectrum

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 10155 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/33/014)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.144 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:10

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 10155-10170

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/40/33/014

# PT symmetric non-self-adjoint operators, diagonalizable and non-diagonalizable, with a real discrete spectrum

## Emanuela Caliceti<sup>1</sup>, Sandro Graffi<sup>1</sup> and Johannes Sjöstrand<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy
 <sup>2</sup> Centre de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique, 91125 Palaiseau, France

E-mail: caliceti@dm.unibo.it, graffi@dm.unibo.it and johannes@math.polytechnique.fr

Received 28 May 2007, in final form 29 June 2007 Published 1 August 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/10155

#### Abstract

Consider in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \ge 1$ , the operator family  $H(g) := H_0 + igW$ .  $H_0 = a_1^*a_1 + \cdots + a_d^*a_d + d/2$  is the quantum harmonic oscillator with rational frequencies, W is a  $\mathcal{P}$  symmetric bounded potential, and g is a real coupling constant. We show that if  $|g| < \rho$ ,  $\rho$  being an explicitly determined constant, the spectrum of H(g) is real and discrete. Moreover we show that the operator  $H(g) = a_1^*a_1 + a_2^*a_2 + iga_2^*a_1$  has a real discrete spectrum but is not diagonalizable.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 02.30.Tb

#### 1. Introduction

A basic fact underlying the PT-symmetric quantum mechanics (see e.g. [1–10]) is the existence of non-self-adjoint, and not even normal, but PT-symmetric Schrödinger, operators (a particular case of complex symmetric operators, as remarked in [11]) which have a fully real spectrum. In  $d \ge 1$  degrees of freedom we assign to P its most general mathematical meaning, namely the reflection with respect to any subset of the coordinates  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ ; T is the complex conjugation. When all d coordinates are reflected, as in the potential W(x) considered below, the standard parity operation  $\mathcal{P}\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \psi(-x_1, \ldots, -x_n)$  is recovered.

Two natural mathematical questions arising in this context are (i) the determination of conditions under which PT-symmetry actually yields a real spectrum (for results in this direction see, e.g. [12–16]) and (ii) the examination of whether or not this phenomenon can still be understood in terms of self-adjoint spectral theory; for example, it has been remarked that if a PT-symmetric Schrödinger operator with a real spectrum is diagonalizable, then it is conjugate to a self-adjoint operator through a similarity map (see, e.g. [17–19]). Hence the

question arises whether PT-symmetric Schrödinger-type operators with a real spectrum are always diagonalizable (see, e.g. [20–22]).

In this paper a contribution is given to both questions. First, we solve in the negative the second one. Namely, we give a very simple, explicit example of a PT symmetric operator, with a purely real and discrete spectrum, which cannot be diagonalized because of occurrence of Jordan blocks (see also [24, 25] for the general framework in terms of complex symmetric operators). The example, which also represents an explicit realization of a block diagonalizable non-self-adjoint operator in the sense of [20] (see also [23]), is the following Schrödinger operator, acting on a domain  $D(H(g)) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to be specified later:

$$H(g) := a_1^* a_1 + a_2^* a_2 + i g a_2^* a_1 + 1, \qquad g \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.1)

Here  $a_i, a_i^*, i = 1, 2$  are the standard destruction and creation operators of two independent harmonic oscillators:

$$a_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( x_i + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i} \right), \qquad a_i^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( x_i - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i} \right), \tag{1.2}$$

so that (1.1) can be rewritten under the form

$$H(g) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} + x_1^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_2^2} + x_2^2 \right] + ig \frac{1}{2} \left( x_2 - \frac{d}{dx_2} \right) \left( x_1 + \frac{d}{dx_1} \right)$$
(1.3)

which is manifestly invariant under the PT-operation  $x_2 \rightarrow -x_2$ ,  $ig \rightarrow -ig$ .

Second, we identify a new class of non-self-adjoint,  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric operators with a purely real spectrum in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , d > 1. To our knowledge, this is the first example of such operators in dimension higher than one (a preliminary version of this result, without proofs, already appeared in [26]). An example of an operator belonging to this class is represented by a perturbation of the harmonic oscillators in dimensions higher than one, namely by the following Schrödinger operators:

$$H(g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left[ -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x_k^2} + \omega_k^2 x_k^2 \right] + \mathrm{i}g W(x_1, \dots, x_d).$$
(1.4)

Here  $W \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $W(-x_1, \ldots, -x_d) = -W(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ ,  $|g| < \rho$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is an explicitly estimated positive constant, and the frequencies  $\omega_k > 0$  are rational multiples of a fixed frequency  $\omega > 0$ :  $\omega_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}\omega$ . Here  $p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q_k \in \mathbb{N} : k = 1, \ldots, d$  is a pair of relatively prime numbers, with both  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  odd,  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ . When d = 2,  $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = \frac{p}{q}$ , this result can be strengthened: if  $\omega_1/\omega_2 = p/q$ , the spectrum is real if and only if p and q are both odd.

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section we work out the example (1.1) making use of the Bargmann representation, in section 3 we establish the class of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric operators with a real spectrum by exploiting the real nature of Rayleigh–Schrödinger perturbation theory (for related work on the spectrum of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric operators through perturbation theory, see [28, 29]), and in section 4 we work out the example represented by the perturbation of the resonant harmonic oscillators proving the above statements.

#### 2. A non-diagonalizable $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric operator with a real discrete spectrum

Consider the operator H(g) whose action on its domain is specified by (1.1) or, equivalently, (1.3). Denote  $H_0$  the operator corresponding to the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, namely,

$$H_0 := \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} + x_1^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_2^2} + x_2^2 \right], \qquad D(H_0) = D(-\Delta) \cap D(x_1^2 + x_2^2).$$
(2.1)

It is immediately verified that  $Vu := a_2^* a_1 u \in L^2$  if  $u \in D(H_0)$ . Therefore we can give the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** The operator family  $H(g) : g \in \mathbb{R}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is the operator H(g) whose action is  $H_0 + igV$  on the domain  $D(H_0)$ .

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Consider the operator family H(g) defined above. Then,  $\forall g \in \mathbb{R}, |g| < 2$ :

- (1) H(g) has a discrete spectrum.
- (2) All eigenvalues of H(g) are  $\lambda_m = m + 1, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  Each eigenvalue  $\lambda_m$  has geometric multiplicity 1 but algebraic multiplicity m + 1.

More precisely, for each *m* there is an *m*-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{H}_m$  invariant under H(g) such that we have the orthogonal decomposition  $L^2 = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_m$ ; if we denote  $\tilde{H}_m := H(g)|_{\mathcal{H}_m}$  the restriction of H(g) to  $\mathcal{H}_m$ , then  $H(g) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_m$  and  $\tilde{H}_m$  is represented by the  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  matrix:

$$\tilde{H}_m = (m+1)I_{(m+1)\times(m+1)} + igD_m.$$
(2.2)

*Here*  $D_m$  *is a nilpotent of order* m + 1*. Explicitly,* 

# Remark

- (1) Spec(H(g)) is thus real and independent of g.
- (2) Formula (2.2) is the Jordan canonical form of  $\tilde{H}_m$ . The algebraic multiplicity is m + 1. Since  $D_m \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{H}_m$  is not diagonalizable by definition and, *a fortiori*, neither is H(g).

#### **Proof of Assertion 1**

The classical Hamiltonians corresponding to the operators  $H_0$  and H(g) represent their symbols, denoted  $\sigma_0(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma_g(x, \xi)$ , respectively,

$$\sigma_0(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\sigma_g(x,\xi) = \sigma_0(x,\xi) + ig\tilde{\sigma}(x,\xi), \qquad \tilde{\sigma}(x,\xi) := \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - i\xi_2)(x_1 + i\xi_1).$$
(2.5)

We have indeed (formally)  $\sigma_0(x, -i\nabla_x) = H_0$ ,  $\sigma_g(x, -i\nabla_x) = H(g)$ . Since  $\sigma_0 \to +\infty$  as  $|\xi| + |x| \to +\infty$ , by well-known results (see, e.g., section 13.14 of [30]) it is enough to prove that  $\forall |g| < g^* = 2$ , and  $\forall (x, \xi)$  outside some fixed ball centered in the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ :

$$0 < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}|g|\right)\sigma_0(x,\xi) \le |\sigma_g(x,\xi)|.$$
(2.6)

To see this, we estimate

$$\widetilde{\sigma}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_2 - i\xi_2||x_1 + i\xi_1| \leq \frac{1}{4}(|x_2 - i\xi_2|^2 + |x_1 + i\xi_1|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0,$$

and hence

$$|\sigma_g| \ge |\sigma_0| - |g||\widetilde{\sigma}| \ge \left(1 - \frac{|g|}{2}\right)\sigma_0.$$

This proves the inequality and hence the assertion.

To prove the remaining assertions of the theorem we make use of the Bargmann representation [27]. To this end, recall the general definition of the Bargmann transform  $U_B$  (even though we shall need it only for d = 2):

$$(U_B u)(z) := f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{d/4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\langle z, q \rangle + q^2)} u(q) \, \mathrm{d}q, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$
(2.7)

Let us recall the relevant properties of the Bargmann transformation.

(1)  $U_B$  is a unitary map between  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_d$ , the space of all entire holomorphic functions  $f(z) : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  such that (here z = x + iy):

$$\|f(z)\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} := \pi^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(z)|^{2} e^{-|z|^{2}} dx \, dy = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} < +\infty,$$
(2.8)

where the scalar product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_d$  is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-|z|^2} \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y.$$
(2.9)

Namely, with  $f(z) := (U_B u)(z)$ :  $||f(z)||_{\mathcal{F}} = ||u(q)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ .

(2) Let  $a_i^*, a_i$  be the destruction and creation operators in the variable  $x_i$  defined as in (1.2). Let  $N_i := a_i^* a_i$  be the corresponding number operator, i = 1, ..., d. Denote  $N^{(d)} := \sum_{i=1}^d N_i$  the total number operator. Then we have

$$U_B a_i^* U_B^{-1} = z_i, \qquad U_B a_i U_B^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \qquad U_B N^{(d)} dU_B^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$
 (2.10)

so that  $H_0 = N^{(2)} + 1$ . The above operators are defined in their maximal domain in  $\mathcal{F}_d$ . Moreover,

$$Q(g) := U_B(H(g) - 1)U_B^{-1} = U_B(N^{(2)} + iga_2^*a_1)U_B^{-1}$$
  
=  $z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + igz_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} := Q_0 + igW$  (2.11)

defined on the maximal domain. Remark that  $\text{Spec}(Q_0) = \{0, 1, \dots, m, \dots\}$ . The eigenvalue  $\lambda_m = m$  has multiplicity m + 1.

Let ψ<sub>k</sub>(x) be the normalized eigenvectors of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator in L<sup>2</sup>(ℝ). Then,

$$(U_B\psi_k)(z) := e_k(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2}k!}} z^k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (2.12)

Let now m = 0, 1, 2, ... Define

$$f_{m,h}(z_1, z_2) := e_{m-h}(z_2)e_h(z_1), h = 0, \dots, m;$$
  

$$\mathcal{K}_m := \operatorname{Span}\{f_{m,h} : h = 0, \dots, m\}$$
  

$$= \operatorname{Span}\{e_{l_1}(z_2)e_{l_2}(z_1) : l_1 + l_2 = m\}.$$

Hence the following properties are immediately checked:

dim 
$$\mathcal{K}_m = m + 1;$$
  $\mathcal{K}_m \perp \mathcal{K}_l, m \neq l;$   $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_m = \mathcal{F}_2.$  (2.13)

We then have the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.3

(1) For any m = 0, 1, ..., and h = 0, ..., m,

$$Q(g)f_{m,h} = mf_{m,h} + ig\sqrt{h(m-h+1)}f_{m,h-1}, \qquad f_{m,-1} := 0.$$
(2.14)

- (2) Let  $\Pi_m$  be the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{F}_2$  onto  $\mathcal{K}_m$ . Then,  $[\Pi_m, Q(g)] = 0$ ; equivalently,  $\mathcal{K}_m$  reduces Q(g):  $Q(g)\mathcal{K}_m \subset \mathcal{K}_m$ .
- (3) Let  $Q(g)_m := Q(g)|_{\mathcal{K}_m} = \prod_m Q(g) \prod_m = \prod_m Q(g) = Q(g) \prod_m$  be  $\mathcal{K}_m$ -component of Q(g). Then  $Q(g) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} Q(g)_m$ .

## Proof

(1) Just compute the action of Q(g) on  $f_{m,h}$ :

$$Q(g)f_{m,h} = \left(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + igz_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right) e_{m-h}(z_2)e_h(z_1)$$
  
=  $(m-h)e_{m-h}(z_2)e_h(z_1) + he_{m-h}(z_2)e_h(z_1)$   
+  $ig\sqrt{h(m-h+1)}e_{m-(h-1)}(z_2)e_{h-1}(z_1)$   
=  $mf_{m,h} + ig\sqrt{h(m-h+1)}f_{m,h-1}.$  (2.15)

- (2) Since the vectors  $f_{m,h}: h = 0, ..., m$  span  $\mathcal{K}_m$ , by linearity the above formula entails  $Q(g)\mathcal{K}_m \subset \mathcal{K}_m$ .
- (3) The assertion follows from (2) above and the completeness relation (2.13).  $\Box$

Proof of theorem 2.2. We have to prove assertion 2.

2. Making h = 0 in (2.15) we get

$$Q(g)f_{m,0} = mf_{m,0}, \qquad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence  $\lambda'_m = m$  is an eigenvalue of Q(g) with the eigenvector  $f_{m,0}$ , i.e. with geometric multiplicity one. By the unitary equivalence  $H(g) = U_B^{-1}(Q(g) + 1)U_B$  we conclude that  $\lambda_m = m + 1, m = 0, ...$ , is an eigenvalue of H(g) of geometric multiplicity one, with the eigenvector  $U_B^{-1}f_{m,0} = \psi_m(x_1)\psi_0(x_2)$ . From (2.14) we read off the matrix representation (2.2), (2.3) and we get the statement about the algebraic multiplicity. On account of the unitary equivalence  $\mathcal{H}_m = U_B^{-1}\mathcal{K}_m$  this concludes the proof of the theorem.

### 3. A class of non-self-adjoint $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric operators with real discrete spectrum

Let  $H_0$  be a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \ge 1$ , bounded below (without loss of generality, positive) with compact resolvent, and let  $D(H_0)$  denote its domain. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the parity operator in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by

$$(\mathcal{P}\psi)(x) = \psi(-x), \qquad \forall \ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (3.1)

Let us assume that  $H_0$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -symmetric, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}H\psi = H\mathcal{P}\psi, \qquad \forall \ \psi \in D(H_0)$$
(3.2)

and also T-symmetric, i.e.

$$(\overline{H_0\psi})(x) = (H_0\overline{\psi})(x), \qquad \forall \ \psi \in D(H_0), \qquad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (3.3)

Let  $0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots$  be the increasing sequence of the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Let  $m_r$  denote the multiplicity of  $\ell_r$  and  $\psi_{r,s}$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$ , denote  $m_r$  linearly independent eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\ell_r$ , which form a basis of the eigenspace

$$\mathcal{M}_r := \operatorname{Span}\{\psi_{r,s} : s = 1, \dots, m_r\}$$
(3.4)

corresponding to  $\ell_r$ .

# **Definition 3.1**

- (1) An eigenspace  $\mathcal{M}_r$  is even (odd) if all basis vectors  $\{\psi_{r,s} : s = 1, \dots, m_r\}$  are even (odd); i.e., if  $\mathcal{P}\psi_{r,s} = \psi_{r,s}, \forall s = 1, \dots, m_r$  ( $\mathcal{P}\psi_{r,s} = -\psi_{r,s}, \forall s = 1, \dots, m_r$ ).
- (2) An eigenvalue  $\ell_r$  is even (odd) if the corresponding eigenspace  $\mathcal{M}_r$  is even (odd).

Now, let  $W \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an odd real function, i.e.  $W(x) = -W(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let V := iW; clearly V is  $\mathcal{PT}$ - even, i.e.

$$\overline{V(-x)} = V(x), \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(3.5)

Then,  $\forall g \in \mathbb{C}$ , the operator  $H(g) := H_0 + gV$  defined on  $D(H(g)) = D(H_0)$  by

$$H(g)\psi = H_0\psi + gV\psi, \qquad \forall \ \psi \in D(H_0)$$
(3.6)

is closed. More precisely H(g) represents an analytic family of type A of closed operators in the sense of Kato (chapter 7.2 of [31]) for  $g \in \mathbb{C}$ , with compact resolvents. Thus Spec(H(g)) is discrete for all g. For  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  the operator H(g) is  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric, i.e.

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}H(g)\psi}(x) = H(g)\overline{\psi}(-x), \qquad \forall \ \psi \in D(H_0).$$
(3.7)

Moreover,

$$H(g)^* = H(-g).$$
 (3.8)

We want to prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $H_0$  and W enjoy the above listed properties. Assume furthermore that

(1)  $\delta := \frac{1}{2} \inf_r (\ell_{r+1} - \ell_r) > 0.$ (2) Each eigenvalue  $\ell_r : r = 1, ...,$  is either even or odd.

Then if  $|g| < \frac{\delta}{\|W\|_{\infty}}$  each eigenvalue  $\lambda(g)$  of H(g) is real, and thus the spectrum of H(g) is purely real.

Example. The *d*-dimensional harmonic oscillator with equal frequencies

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_k^2} + \omega^2 x_k^2 \right]$$
(3.9)

has the properties required by  $H_0$ . In this case indeed

$$\ell_r = \omega(r_1 + \dots + r_d + d/2) := \omega(r + d/2), \qquad r_k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; k = 1, \dots, d$$

with multiplicity  $m_r = (r + 1)^d$ . Here the corresponding eigenspace is

$$\mathcal{M}_r := \text{Span}\{\psi_{r,s} : s = 1, \dots, m_r\} = \text{Span}\{\psi_{r_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_{r_d}(x_d) : r_1 + \dots + r_d = r\}$$

where, as above,  $\psi_r(x)$  is a Hermite function. Now if *r* is odd the sum  $r = r_1 + \cdots + r_d$  contains an odd number of odd terms; since  $\psi_s(x)$  is an odd function when *s* is odd, the product  $\psi_{r_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_{r_d}(x_d)$  contains an odd number of odd factors and is therefore odd.  $\ell_r$  is therefore an odd eigenvalue. An analogous argument shows that  $\ell_r$  is an even eigenvalue when *r* is even. Moreover,  $\ell_{r+1} - \ell_r = \omega$  and thus condition (1.1) above is fulfilled.

Actually, the above example is a particular case of a more general statement, while for d = 2 the above application to the perturbation of harmonic oscillators can be considerably strengthened.

Theorem 3.3. Let

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_k^2} + \omega_k^2 x_k^2 \right].$$
 (3.10)

Assume the frequencies to be rational multiples of a fixed frequency  $\omega > 0$ , namely,

$$\omega_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}\omega, \qquad k = 1, \dots, d, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $(p_k, q_k)$  are relatively prime natural numbers. Then assumption (1) of theorem 3.2 holds. Moreover,

- (i) If  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are both odd, k = 1, ..., d, assumption (2) of theorem 3.2 is fulfilled.
- (ii) If d = 2, the condition  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  both odd is also necessary for the validity of assumption (1.2) of theorem 3.2.

We will now prove theorem 3.2 in two steps (propositions 3.5 and 3.10), while the proof of theorem 3.3 is postponed to the next section. In the first step we show that the degenerate Rayleigh–Schrödinger perturbation theory near each eigenvalue  $\ell_r$  is real and convergent, with a convergence radius independent of r. Thus there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that all the  $m_r$  eigenvalues near  $\ell_r$  (counted according to their multiplicity) existing for  $|g| < \rho$  are real for all r. The second step is the proof that H(g) admits no other eigenvalue for  $|g| < \rho$ . To formulate the first step, we recall some relevant notions and results of perturbation theory.

Let  $g_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be fixed and let  $\mu$  be an eigenvalue of  $H(g_0)$ . Let c > 0 be sufficiently small so that

$$\Gamma_c = \{z : |z - \mu| = c\}$$

encloses no other eigenvalue of  $H(g_0)$ . Then for  $|g - g_0|$  small  $\Gamma_c$  is contained in the resolvent set of H(g),  $\rho(H(g)) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Spec}(H(g))$ . Moreover  $\Gamma_c \subset \mathcal{D}$ , where

 $\mathcal{D} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists b(z) > 0 \text{ such that } (z - H(g))^{-1} := R_g(z)$ 

exists and is uniformly bounded for  $|g - g_0| < b(z)$ .

Then for  $|g - g_0|$  sufficiently small

$$P(g) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \oint_{\Gamma_c} R_g(z) dz$$
(3.12)

is the projection corresponding to the part of the spectrum of H(g) enclosed in  $\Gamma_c$  and  $\forall z \in \mathcal{D}$ 

$$||R_g(z) - R_{g_0}(z)|| \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad g \to g_0$$
 (3.13)

whence

$$||P(g) - P(g_0)|| \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad g \to g_0$$
 (3.14)

(see e.g., section 7.1 of [31]). In particular, if *m* denotes the multiplicity of  $\mu$ , for *g* close to  $g_0$ , H(g) has exactly *m* eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) inside  $\Gamma_c$ , denoted  $\mu_s(g), s = 1, \ldots, m$ , which converge to  $\mu$  as  $g \to g_0$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(g)$  the range of the projection operator P(g), then dim $\mathcal{M}(g) = m$  as  $g \to g_0$ , and  $H(g)\mathcal{M}(g) \subset \mathcal{M}(g)$ . Hence the component P(g)H(g)P(g) = P(g)H(g) = H(g)P(g) of H(g) in  $\mathcal{M}(g)$  has rank *m* and its eigenvalues are precisely  $\mu_s(g), s = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Assume from now on  $g_0 = 0$  so that the unperturbed operator is the self-adjoint operator  $H_0 := H(0)$ . Let  $\ell = \ell_r, r = 1, 2, ..., be$  a fixed eigenvalue of  $H_0, m = m_r$  its multiplicity and  $\psi_s := \psi_{r,s} : s = 1, ..., m$  be an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{M}_r := \mathcal{M}_r(0)$ . Then there is  $\bar{g}(r) > 0$  such that the vectors  $P_r(g)\psi_{r,s} : s = 1, ..., m$  are a basis in the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}_r(g)$  for  $|g| < \bar{g}(r)$ . We denote  $\phi_{r,s}(g) : s = 1, ..., m$  the orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{M}_r(g)$  obtained from  $P_r(g)\psi_{r,s} : s = 1, ..., m_r$  through the Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization

procedure. Then the eigenvalues  $\mu_s(g) = \ell_{r,s}(g)$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$ , are the eigenvalues of the  $m_r \times m_r$  matrix  $T_r(g)$  given by

$$(T_{r}(g))_{hk} := \langle \phi_{r,h}(g), H(g) P(g) \phi_{r,k}(g) \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle \phi_{r,h}(g), P_{r}(g) H(g) P_{r}(g) \phi_{r,k}(g) \rangle, \qquad h, k = 1, ..., m_{r}.$   
Let  $\phi_{r,s}(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{sj}^{r}(g) P_{r}(g) \psi_{r,j}, \alpha_{sj}^{r}(g) \in \mathbb{C}, s, j = 1, ..., m_{r}.$  Then  
 $(T_{r}(g))_{hk} = \sum_{j,l=1}^{m} \alpha_{hj}^{r}(g) \overline{\alpha_{kl}^{r}(g)} \langle \psi_{r,j}, P_{r}(-g) H(g) P_{r}(g) \psi_{r,l} \rangle, \qquad h, k = 1, ..., m.$  (3.15)

Consider now the  $m_r \times m_r$  matrix  $B_r(g) = (B_{il}^r(g))_{j,l=1,\dots,m}$ , where

$$B_{jl}^{r}(g) = \langle \psi_{r,j}, P_{r}(-g)H(g)P_{r}(g)\psi_{r,l} \rangle, \qquad j, l = 1, \dots, m_{r}.$$
(3.16)

Its self-adjointness entails the self-adjointness of  $T_r(g)$ . We have indeed the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $B_{jl}^r(g) = \overline{B_{lj}^r(g)}, \forall j, l = 1, ..., m_r$ . Then,  $(T(g))_{ll} = \overline{(T(g))_{ll}}, h = 1, ..., m_r$ 

$$(T_r(g))_{hk} = (T_r(g))_{kh}, h, k = 1, \dots, m_r$$

**Proof.** Since  $B_{jl}^r(g) = \overline{B_{lj}^r(g)}, \forall j, l$  we can write

$$\overline{(T_{r,}(g))_{kh}} = \sum_{p,s=1}^{m_r} \alpha_{kp}^r(g) \overline{\alpha_{hs}^r(g)} B_{ps}^r(g) = \sum_{p,s=1}^{m_r} \overline{\alpha_{kp}^r(g)} \alpha_{hs}^r(g) B_{sp}^r(g)$$
$$= \sum_{j,l=1}^{m_r} \alpha_{hj}^r(g) \overline{\alpha_{kl}^r(g)} B_{jl}^r(g) = (T_r(g))_{hk}.$$
(3.17)

and this proves the assertion.

In other words the self-adjointness of  $T_r(g)$ , and thus the reality of the eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}(g)$  for  $|g| < \bar{g}(r)$ , follows from the self-adjointness of  $B_r(g)$  which will be proved by the construction of the Rayleigh–Schödinger perturbation expansion (RSPE) for the operator  $P_r(-g)H(g)P_r(g)$ , which we now briefly recall, following (section 2.2.7 of [31]; here  $T^{(1)} = V = iW$ ,  $T^{(\nu)} = 0$ ,  $\nu \ge 2$ , D = 0).

(1) The geometric expansion in powers of g of the resolvent

$$R_g(z) = (z - H(g))^{-1} = (z - H_0 - gV)^{-1} = R_0(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-g)^n [VR_0(z)]^n$$

is norm convergent for |g| suitably small. Insertion in (3.12) yields the expansion for P(g):

$$P_r(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^n P_r^{(n)}, \qquad P_r^{(0)} = P_r(0) := P_r$$
(3.18)

$$P_r^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_r} R_0(z) [VR_0(z)]^n \, \mathrm{d}z, \qquad n \ge 1,$$
(3.19)

whence

$$P_r(-g)H(g)P_r(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^n \hat{T}_r^{(n)}, \qquad \hat{T}_r^{(0)} = H_0 P_r, \qquad (3.20)$$

where

$$\hat{T}_{r}^{(n)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{p} \Big[ P_{r}^{(p)} H_{0} P_{r}^{(n-p)} + P_{r}^{(p-1)} V P_{r}^{(n-p)} \Big], \qquad n \ge 1, \qquad P_{r}^{(-1)} = 0.$$
(3.21)

and

$$P_r^{(n)} = (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{n+1} = n \\ k_j \ge 0}} S_r^{(k_1)} V S_r^{(k_2)} V \dots V S_r^{(k_n)} V S_r^{(k_{n+1})}.$$
(3.22)

Here

$$S_r^{(0)} = -P_r; \qquad S_r = -\sum_{j \neq r} P_j / (\ell_j - \ell_r); \qquad S_r^{(k)} = (S_r)^k, \qquad \forall \ k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $P_j$  is the projection corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\ell_j$  of  $H_0$ .

(2) The series (3.18), (3.20) are norm convergent for  $|g| < \frac{d_r}{2||W||_{\infty}}$ , where  $d_r$  is the distance of  $\ell = \ell_r$  from the rest of the spectrum of  $H_0$ . Hence under the present assumptions the convergence takes place a fortiori for

$$|g| < \rho \qquad \rho := \frac{\delta}{\|W\|_{\infty}}.$$
(3.24)

- (3) The projection operator  $P_r(g)$  is holomorphic for  $|g| < \rho$ . This entails that its dimension is constant throughout the disk. Therefore H(g) admits exactly  $m_r$  eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}$  (counting multiplicities) inside  $\Gamma_r$  for  $|g| < \rho$ .
- (4) Hence, for  $|g| < \rho$  we can write

$$B_r(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^n \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}, \qquad \left(\mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}\right)_{jl} := \left\langle \psi_{r,j}, \hat{T}_r^{(n)} \psi_{r,l} \right\rangle, \qquad j, l = 1, \dots, m_r.$$
(3.25)

We can now formulate the first step:

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\ell_r$ , r = 1, 2, ... be an eigenvalue of  $H_0$ . Then the  $m_r$  eigenvalues (counting multiplicity)  $\ell_{r,s}$  of H(g) existing for  $|g| < \rho$ , and converging to  $\ell_r$  as  $g \to 0$ , are real for  $|g| < \overline{g}(r), g \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** We drop the index *r* because the argument is *r* independent, i.e. we consider the expansion near the unperturbed eigenvalue  $\ell := \ell_r$ . Accordingly, we denote by  $\psi_s := \psi_{r,s}$  the corresponding eigenvectors. Let us first consider the case of  $\ell$  even. It is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{G}^n = 0$  if *n* is odd and that  $\mathcal{G}^n$  is self-adjoint (in fact, real symmetric) when *n* is even. These assertions will be proved in lemmas 3.7 and 3.9, respectively, which in turn require an auxiliary statement.

## Definition 3.6. The product

$$\Pi(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) := S^{(k_1)} V S^{(k_2)} V \dots V S^{(k_n)} V S^{(k_{n+1})}$$
(3.26)

containing precisely n factors V and n + 1 factors  $S^{(j)}$ ,  $j \ge 0$ , is called string of length n.

Then from (3.21), (3.22) we get

$$(\mathcal{G}^{(n)})_{qs} = (-1)^n \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \left[ \left( \mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} - \left( \mathcal{G}_{2,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} \right], \tag{3.27}$$

,

where

$$\left(\mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)}\right)_{qs} = \left\langle \psi_q, \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{p+1} = p \\ k_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_1, \dots, k_{p+1}) H_0 \sum_{\substack{h_1 + \dots + h_{n-p+1} \\ = n-p; h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(h_1, \dots, h_{n-p+1}) \psi_s \right\rangle$$
(3.28)

$$\left(\mathcal{G}_{2,p}^{(n)}\right)_{qs} = \left\langle \psi_q, \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p \\ = p-1; k_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_1, \dots, k_p) V \sum_{\substack{h_1 + \dots + h_{n-p+1} \\ = n-p; h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(h_1, \dots, h_{n-p+1}) \psi_s \right\rangle.$$
(3.29)

Now  $S^{(k)}$  is self-adjoint for all k, and V = iW with  $W(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$\left( \mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} = (-1)^p \left\langle \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \ldots + k_{p+1} = p; k_l \ge 0\\h_1 + \ldots + h_{n-p+1} = n-p; h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_{p+1}, \ldots, k_1) \psi_q, H_0 \Pi(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-p+1}) \psi_s \right\rangle$$

$$\left( \mathcal{G}_{2,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} = (-1)^{p-1} \left\langle \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \ldots + k_p = p-1; k_l \ge 0\\h_1 + \ldots + h_{n-p+1} = n-p; h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_p, \ldots, k_1) \psi_q, V \Pi(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-p+1}) \psi_s \right\rangle.$$

Since  $S^{(k)} \perp P, k \ge 1$ , in both scalar products (3.28) and (3.29) all terms with  $k_1 \ne 0$  or  $h_{n-p+1} \neq 0$  vanish. Hence,

$$\left( \mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} = (-1)^p \left\langle \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = p \\ h_1 + \dots + h_{n-p} = n-p \\ k_l \ge 0, h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_p, \dots, k_1) V \psi_q, H_0 \Pi(h_1, \dots, h_{n-p}) V \psi_s \right\rangle$$
(3.30)  
$$\left( \mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)} \right)_{qs} = (-1)^{p-1} \left\langle \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = p \\ k_l \ge 0, h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_p, \dots, k_l) V \psi_l V \Pi(h_l, \dots, h_{n-p}) V \psi_s \right\rangle$$
(3.31)

$$\left(\mathcal{G}_{1,p}^{(n)}\right)_{qs} = (-1)^{p-1} \left\langle \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{p-1} = p-1 \\ h_1 + \dots + h_{n-p} = n-p \\ k_l \ge 0, h_l \ge 0}} \Pi(k_{p-1}, \dots, k_1) V \psi_q, V \Pi(h_1, \dots, h_{n-p}) V \psi_s \right\rangle.$$
(3.31)

We now have

**Lemma 3.7.** Let n be odd, and  $0 \leq p \leq n$ . Then,  $\forall k_1, \ldots, k_p \geq 0, \forall h_1, \ldots, h_{n-p} \geq n$  $0, \forall q, s = 1, \ldots, m,$ 

$$\langle \Pi(k_p, \dots, k_1) V \psi_q, H_0 \Pi(h_1, \dots, h_{n-p}) V \psi_s \rangle = 0$$
(3.32)

$$\langle \Pi(k_{p-1},\ldots,k_1)V\psi_q, V\Pi(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-p})V\psi_s \rangle = 0.$$
 (3.33)

**Proof.** Let us write explicitly (3.32), (3.33):

$$\langle S^{(k_p)} V S^{(k_{p-1})} V \dots V S^{(k_1)} V \psi_q, H_0 S^{(h_1)} V S^{(h_2)} V \dots V S^{(h_{n-p})} V \psi_s \rangle = 0$$
(3.34)

$$\langle S^{(k_{p-1})}VS^{(k_{p-2})}V\dots VS^{(k_1)}V\psi_q, VS^{(h_1)}VS^{(h_2)}V\dots VS^{(h_{n-p})}V\psi_s\rangle = 0.$$
(3.35)

Let us now further simplify the notation as follows. We set

$$S_{+} := -\sum_{j \neq r; \ell_{j} \text{ even}} \frac{P_{j}}{\ell_{j} - \ell}; \qquad S_{-} = -\sum_{j \neq r; \ell_{j} \text{ odd}} \frac{P_{j}}{\ell_{j} - \ell}.$$
(3.36)

PT symmetric non-self-adjoint operators with a real discrete spectrum

Both series are convergent because  $|(\ell_j - \ell)| > \delta$  and  $\sum_{j \neq r} P_j$  is convergent. Hence  $S = S_+ \oplus S_-$  and for  $k \neq 0$  we have

$$S^{k} = S^{k}_{+} \oplus S^{k}_{-} = (-1)^{k} \sum_{j \neq r; \ell_{j} \text{ even}} \frac{P_{j}}{(\ell_{j} - \ell)^{k}} + (-1)^{k} \sum_{j \neq r; \ell_{j} \text{ odd}} \frac{P_{j}}{(\ell_{j} - \ell)^{k}}.$$
(3.37)

Finally we set  $S_{+}^{(0)} := S_{+}^{0} := -P$ . Now, the multiplication by *V* changes the parity of a function, and  $\psi_{j}, \psi_{l}$  are even. This entails that in both scalar products above  $S^{(k_{1})}$  can be replaced by  $S_{-}^{(k_{1})}, S^{(k_{2})}$  by  $S_{+}^{(k_{2})}$  and so on. The general rule is  $S^{(k_{j})}$  can be replaced by  $S_{+}^{k_{j}}$  (by  $S_{+}^{k_{j}}$ ) if and only if *j* is odd (*j* is even, respectively). Similarly for the  $S^{(h_{j})}$ . Consider first the scalar product in (3.34). According to the general rule  $S_{\pm}^{(k_{p})}$  coincides with  $S_{+}^{(k_{p})}$  if *p* is even and with  $S_{-}^{(k_{p})}$  if *p* is odd. Similarly for  $S_{\pm}^{(h_{n-p})}$ . If *n* is odd *p* and *n* – *p* have opposite parity and since  $H_{0}$  does not change the parity of a function the scalar product is zero. A similar argument shows that also the scalar product (3.35) is zero if *n* is odd. Indeed the function in the left-hand side has the same parity of n - p + 1, and if *n* is odd p - 1 and n - p + 1 have opposite parity. This proves the assertion.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let n be odd. Then  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)} = 0$ .

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of lemma 3.7 on account of (3.27), (3.30), (3.31).

**Lemma 3.9.** Let n be even. Then  $(\mathcal{G}^{(n)})_{qs} = \overline{(\mathcal{G}^{(n)})_{qs}}$  for all  $q, s = 1, \ldots, m$ .

**Proof.** Once more by (3.27), (3.30), (3.31) we can write for all *n* (replacing of course *V* by *iW* in the definition (3.26), and denoting  $\Pi'$  the resulting string)

$$\left(\mathcal{G}^{(n)}\right)_{qs} = (i)^{n} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{p}=p;k_{j} \geqslant 0\\h_{1}+\ldots+h_{n-p}=n-p;h_{j} \geqslant 0}} (-1)^{p} \langle \Pi'(k_{1},\ldots,k_{p})W\psi_{q}, H_{0}\Pi'(h_{1},\ldots,h_{n-p})W\psi_{s} \rangle \right] \\
- \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{p-1}=p-1;k_{j} \geqslant 0\\h_{1}+\ldots+h_{n-p}=n-p;h_{j} \geqslant 0}} (-1)^{p-1} \langle \Pi'(k_{1},\ldots,k_{p-1})W\psi_{q}, W\Pi'(h_{1},\ldots,h_{n-p})W\psi_{s} \rangle \right] \\
\left(i\right)^{n} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n-p}=n-p;\\h_{1}+\ldots+h_{p}=p;h_{j} \geqslant 0,k_{j} \geqslant 0} (-1)^{n-p} \langle H_{0}\Pi'(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n-p})W\psi_{q}, \Pi'(h_{1},\ldots,h_{p})W\psi_{s} \rangle \right] \\
- \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n-p}=n-p;k_{j} \geqslant 0\\h_{1}+\ldots+h_{p-1}=p-1;h_{j} \geqslant 0} (-1)^{n-p+1} \langle W\Pi'(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n-p})W\psi_{q}, \Pi'(h_{1}),\ldots,h_{p-1})W\psi_{s} \rangle \right] \\
= \overline{(\mathcal{G}^{(n)})_{qs}}.$$
(3.38)

To obtain the second equality in (3.38) we have used the self-adjointness of  $H_0$  and W and we have renamed the indices, exchanging p and n - p in the first scalar product, and p - 1 and n - p in the second scalar product. Finally, to obtain the last equality in (3.38) note that  $(-1)^p = (-1)^{n-p}$  since n is even.

# Remark

- (1) It is worth noticing that if the  $\psi_s, s = 1, ..., m$ , are chosen to be real valued then  $(\mathcal{G}^{(n)})_{qs} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall j, l$ , because W is also real valued and the operators  $S^{(k)}$  map real-valued functions into real-valued functions.
- (2) The argument yielding the real nature of the perturbation expansion is independent of its convergence, namely it holds for all odd potentials V for which the perturbation expansion exists to all orders. In particular, it holds when V is any odd polynomial, i.e. for any odd anharmonic oscillators in any dimension d.

We now proceed to prove that the eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}(g)$  are real  $\forall g \in \mathbb{R}, |g| < \rho$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** The eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, ..., s = 1, ..., m_r$  are holomorphic for  $|g| < \rho$  and real for  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|g| < \rho$ .

**Proof.** The vectors  $U_r(g)P_r\psi_{r,k} = U_r(g)\psi_{r,k}$ :  $k = 1, ..., m_r$  represent a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_r(g)$  for all  $|g| < \rho$  (section 2.4.2 of [31]). Here the similarity operator  $U_r(g)P_r$  is recursively defined in the following way:

$$U_r(g)P_r = P_r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} U_r^{(k)} g^k, \qquad k U_r^{(k)} = k P_r^{(k)} + (k-1) P_r^{(k-1)} U_r^{(1)} + \dots P_r^{(1)} U_r^{(k-1)}.$$
(3.39)

We denote  $\chi_{r,s}(g)$  :  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$  the orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{M}_r(g)$  obtained from  $U_r(g)\psi_{r,s}$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$  through the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure. Then the eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}(g)$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$ , are the eigenvalues of the  $m \times m$  matrix  $X_r(g)$  given by

$$(X_r(g))_{hk} := \langle \chi_{r,h}(g), H(g) P(g) \chi_{r,k}(g) \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle \chi_{r,h}(g), H(g) \chi_{r,k}(g) \rangle, \qquad h, k = 1, \dots, m_r$  (3.40)

because  $P(g)\chi_{r,h}(g) = \chi_{r,h}$ ,  $h = 1, ..., m_r$ . For  $|g| < \bar{g}(r)$  the orthonormal vectors  $\chi_{r,h}(g): h = 1, ..., m_r$  are linear combinations of the orthonormal vectors  $\phi_{r,h}(g): h = 1, ..., m_r$  defined above. Since  $X_r(g)$  and  $T_r(g)$  represent the same operator on two different orthonormal bases, if either one is self-adjoint the second must enjoy the same property. Hence the matrix  $(X_r(g))_{hk}$  is self-adjoint,  $|g| < \bar{g}(r), g \in \mathbb{R}$ . Expand now  $(X_r(g))_{hk}$  in power series:

$$(X_r(g))_{hk} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\theta_{r,m})_{hk} g^m.$$

The series converges for  $|g| < \rho$ . It follows indeed by the standard Gram-Schmidt procedure (we omit the details) that it can be written as the quotient of two functions of g involving only linear combinations of scalar products of the operators  $P_r(g)$  on vectors independent of g; the denominator never vanishes for  $|g| < \rho$  by construction, on account of the linear independence of the vectors  $U_r(g)\psi_{r,s}$ ,  $s = 1, ..., m_r$  when  $|g| < \rho$ . Now it necessarily follows from the self-adjointness of  $(X_r(g))_{hk}$ , valid for  $|g| < \bar{g}(r)$  that  $(\theta_{r,m})_{hk} = \overline{(\theta_{r,m})}_{kh}$ , m = 0, 1, ... Hence the matrix  $X_r(g)$  is self-adjoint for  $|g| < \rho$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus the eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}$  are real in the same domain. This proves the assertion.

**Proof of theorem 3.2.** We have seen that the RSPE associated with the  $\ell_r$ -group of eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}(g)$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, m_r$ , of H(g) which converge to  $\ell_r$  as  $g \to 0$ , have radius of convergence no smaller than  $\rho$ . Hence,  $\forall g \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|g| < \rho$ , H(g) admits a sequence of real eigenvalues

eigenvalues. Thus all its eigenvalues are real. To this end, for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\mathcal{Q}_r$  denote the square centered at  $\ell_r$  with side 2 $\delta$ . Then if  $g \in \mathbb{R}, |g| < \rho$ , and  $\ell(g)$  is an eigenvalue of H(g):

$$\ell(g) \in \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}_r.$$

In fact, for any  $z \notin \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} Q_r$  we have

$$\|gVR_0(z)\| \le \|g\|\|W\|_{\infty} \|R_0(z)\| < \rho \|W\|_{\infty} [\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H_0))]^{-1} \le \frac{\rho \|W\|_{\infty}}{\delta} = 1$$
(3.41)

where  $R_0(z) := (H_0 - z)^{-1}$ . Thus,  $z \in \rho(H(g))$  and

$$R(g, z) := (H(g) - z)^{-1} = R_0(z)[1 + gVR_0(z)]^{-1}.$$

Now let  $g_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed with  $|g| < \rho$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $g_0 > 0$ . Let  $\ell(g_0)$  be a given eigenvalue of  $H(g_0)$ . Then  $\ell(g_0)$  must be contained in the interior (and not on the boundary) of  $Q_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover if  $m_0$  is the multiplicity of  $\ell(g_0)$ , for g close to  $g_0$  there are  $m_0$  eigenvalues  $\ell^{(\alpha)}(g), \alpha = 1, \dots, m_0$ , of H(g) which converge to  $\ell(g_0)$  as  $g \to g_0$  and each function  $\ell^{(\alpha)}(g)$  represents a branch of one or several holomorphic functions which have at most algebraic singularities at  $g = g_0$  (see [theorem 7.1.8 of [31]). Let us now follow one of such branches  $\ell^{(\alpha)}(g)$  for  $0 < g < g_0$ , suppressing the index  $\alpha$  from now on. First of all we notice that, by continuity,  $\ell(g)$  cannot go out of  $\mathcal{Q}_{n_0}$  for g close to g<sub>0</sub>. Moreover, if we denote  $\Gamma_{2t}$  the boundary of the square centered at  $\ell_{n_0}$  with side 2t, for  $0 < t \leq 1$ , we have, for  $z \in \Gamma_{2t}$  and  $0 < g \leq g_0$ ,

$$\|gVR_0(z)\| \leqslant g[\operatorname{dist}(z,\sigma(H_0))]^{-1} \leqslant g/t.$$
(3.42)

Then t > g implies  $z \notin \sigma(H(g))$ , i.e. if  $z \in \sigma(H(g)) \cap \Gamma_{2t}$  then  $t \leq g < g_0 < 1$ . Hence we observe that as  $g \to g_0^-$ ,  $\ell(g)$  is contained in the square centered at  $\ell_{n_0}$  and side 2g. Suppose that the holomorphic function  $\ell(g)$  is defined on the interval  $]g_1, g_0]$  with  $g_1 > 0$ . We will show that it can be continued up to g = 0, and in fact up to g = -1. From what has been established so far the function  $\ell(g)$  is bounded as  $g \to g_1^+$ . Thus, by the well known properties on the stability of the eigenvalues of the analytic families of operators,  $\ell(g)$ must converge to an eigenvalue  $\ell(g_1)$  of  $H(g_1)$  as  $g \to g_1^+$  and  $\ell(g_1)$  is contained in the square centered at  $\ell_{n_0}$  and side  $2g_1$ . Repeating the argument starting now from  $\ell(g_1)$ , we can continue  $\ell(g)$  to a holomorphic function on an interval  $]g_2, g_1]$ , which has at most an algebraic singularity at  $g = g_2$ . We build in this way a sequence  $g_1 > g_2 > \ldots > g_n > \ldots$  which can accumulate only at g = -1. In particular the function  $\ell(g)$  is piecewise holomorphic on ] – 1, 1]. But while passing through  $g = 0, \ell(g)$  coincides with one of the eigenvalues  $\ell_{r,s}(g), s = 1, \ldots, m_r$ , generated by an unperturbed eigenvalue  $\ell_r$  of  $H_0$  (namely  $\ell_{n_0}$ ), which represent  $m_r$  real analytic functions defined for  $g \in [-1, 1]$ . Thus,  $\ell(g_0)$  arises from one of these functions and is therefore real. This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

#### 4. Perturbation of resonant harmonic oscillators

Consider again the *d*-dimensional harmonic oscillator

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_k^2} + \omega_k^2 x_k^2 \right],$$
(4.1)

where now the frequencies  $\omega_k > 0$ : k = 1, ..., d may be different. Theorem 3.3 will be a consequence of the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** The operator (4.1) fulfils assumption (2) of theorem 3.2 if and only if the following condition on the frequencies holds:

(1)  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that the components  $k_i : i = 1, ..., d$  have no common divisor, and  $\omega_1 k_1 + \cdots + \omega_d k_d = 0$ , the number O(k) of  $k_i$  odd is even.

**Proof.** We first prove the sufficiency part. Let therefore (A) be fulfilled. First recall the obvious fact that the rational dependence of the frequency entails the degeneracy of any eigenvalue of (4.1). In order to show that each eigenvalue

$$\ell_{n_1,\dots,n_d} = \omega_1 n_1 + \dots + \omega_d n_d + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_d)$$

of  $H_0$  has a definite parity, consider a corresponding eigenfunction

$$\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_d}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \prod_{s=1}^d \psi_{n_s}(x_s).$$

Now  $\psi_{n_s}(x)$  is even or odd according to the parity of  $n_s$ , and therefore  $\Psi$  will be even if and only if the number of odd  $n_s$  is even. Since  $\ell$  is degenerate, there exist  $(l_1, \ldots, l_d) \neq (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$  such that

 $\omega_1 n_1 + \dots + \omega_d n_d = \omega_1 l_1 + \dots + \omega_d l_d \implies \langle \omega, k \rangle = 0, k := (n_1 - l_1, \dots, n_d - l_d)$ 

and hence the eigenfunction

$$\Psi_{l_1,\ldots,l_d}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \prod_{s=1}^d \psi_{l_s}(x_s)$$

corresponds to the same eigenvalue. The eigenfunctions  $\Psi_{n_1,...,n_d}$  and  $\Psi_{l_1,...,l_d}$  have one and the same parity if and only if the number of the odd differences  $k_i$  is even: in fact, an even difference  $k_i = n_i - l_i$  does not change the relative parity, while an odd difference does. Let us show that if assumption (A) holds the number of odd differences is even. The case in which  $k_i$ : i = 1, ..., d have no common divisor is the assumption itself. Let therefore  $k_i: i = 1, \dots, d$  have a common divisor. If a common divisor is 2,  $k_i$  is even for any i. Hence there are no odd differences. If 2 is not a common divisor, there will be an odd common divisor, denoted b, such that  $k_i = bk'_i$ , where the numbers  $k'_i$  have no common divisor. Now  $\langle k', \omega \rangle = \langle k, \omega \rangle / b = 0$ . Hence by the assumptions O(k') is even. Since the multiplication by the odd number b does not change the parity of the  $k'_i$ , the same conclusion applies also to the numbers  $k_i$ . Thus the total number of odd differences does not change after multiplication by b: O(k) = O(k') is even. Conversely, let us assume that assumption (A) is violated. Therefore there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that the numbers  $k_i$  have no common divisor,  $\langle k, \omega \rangle = 0$  and O(k) is odd. Consider again the eigenfunctions  $\Psi_{n_1,\dots,n_d}(x_1,\dots,x_d)$  and  $\Psi_{l_1,\dots,l_d}(x_1,\dots,x_d)$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue  $\ell$ , with  $k_i = n_i - l_i$  as above. By construction, the two eigenfunctions have opposite parity, and this concludes the proof of the proposition. 

**Proof of theorem 3.3.** Let us first prove that assumption (1.1) of theorem 3.2 is fulfilled. Let  $\ell_l = \ell_{l_1,...,l_d}$  and  $\ell_n = \ell_{n_1,...,n_d}$  denote different eigenvalues. Then, by assumption

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell_n - \ell_l| &= \omega \left| (n_1 - l_1) \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \dots + (n_d - l_d) \frac{p_d}{q_d} \right| \\ &= \frac{\omega}{q_1 \cdots q_d} |(n_1 - l_1) p_1 q_2 \cdots q_d + \dots + (n_d - l_d) p_d q_1 \cdots q_{d-1}| \\ &\geqslant \frac{\omega}{q_1 \cdots q_d} := \delta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this lower bound does not depend on the multi-indices (n, l) the assertion is proved.

Let us now check Assertion (i), namely that if the frequencies have the form  $\omega_k = \omega p_k/q_k$ with  $p_k$  and  $p_k$  odd then assumption (1.2) of theorem (3.2) holds; namely, all eigenvalues of (4.1) have a definite parity. By proposition 4.2, it is enough to prove that assumption (A) is satisfied. Let indeed  $(k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$  be without common divisor and such that  $\langle \omega, k \rangle = 0$ . Then

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1}k_1 + \dots + \frac{p_d}{q_d}k_d = \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_d}(p_1q_2 \cdots q_dk_1 + \dots + p_dq_1 \cdots q_{d-1}k_d)$$
$$:= \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_d}(D_1k_1 + \dots + D_dk_d) = 0$$

Now the integers  $D_k : k = 1, ..., d$  are odd; hence the above sum must have an even number of terms. The odd terms are those, and only those, containing an odd  $k_i$ ; therefore the number of odd  $k_i$  must be even. Then the result follows by the above proposition.

Consider now assertion (ii) of theorem 3.3. The only thing left to prove is that the validity of assumption (A) entails that  $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = \frac{d_1}{d_2}$  where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are odd. Suppose indeed  $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$  where  $k_1$  is odd and  $k_2$  even, or vice versa. Then  $\omega_1 k_1 - \omega_2 k_2 = 0$ . However this contradicts assumption (A) which states that the number O(k) of odd  $k_i$  must be even. This concludes the proof of theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 4.2.** Under the conditions of theorem 3.3 on  $H_0$ , assume furthermore that the matrix  $\langle \psi_r, W\psi_s \rangle$ :  $r, s = 1, \ldots, m_0$  is not identically zero for at least one eigenvalue  $\ell_0$  of  $H_0$  of multiplicity  $m_0 > 1$ . Then for  $|g| < \frac{\delta}{\|W\|_{\infty}}$ , H(g) has real eigenvalues if and only if  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are both odd,  $\forall k = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** The sufficient part is a particular case of theorem 3.3. As for the necessity, under the present conditions the eigenfunctions have opposite parity. Therefore we can directly apply the argument of [15] and conclude that if p is even and q is odd or vice versa, H(g) has a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues near  $\ell_0$  for  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  suitably small.

### References

- [1] Ahmed Z 2003 P-, T-, PT-, and CPT-invariance of Hermitian Hamiltonians Phys. Lett. A 310 39-142
- [2] Bender C M, Boettcher S and Meisinger P N 1999 PT-symmetric quantum mechanics J. Math. Phys. 40 2201–29
  [3] Bender C M, Berry M V and Mandilara A 2002 Generalized PT symmetry and real spectra J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 1,467–71
- [4] Bender C M, Brody D C and Jones H F 2003 Must a Hamiltonian be Hermitian? Am. J. Phys. 71 1039-1
- [5] Cannata F, Junker G and Trost J 1998 Schrödinger operators with complex potential but real spectrum *Phys.* Lett. A 246 219–26
- [6] Znojil M, Cannata F, Bagchi B and Roychoudhury R 2000 Supersymmetry without hermiticity within PT symmetric quantum mechanics Phys. Lett. B 483 284
- [7] Cannata F, Ioffe M V and Nishniadinze D N 2003 Two-dimensional SUSY Pseudo-hermiticity without separation of variables *Phys. Lett.* A 310 344–52
- [8] Levai G and Znojil M 2000 Systematic search for PT symmetric potentials with real energy spectra J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 7165
- [9] Bender C M 2007 Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Preprint hep-th/0703096
- [10] 2006 Special issue dedicated to the physics of non-Hermitian operators (Stellenbosch, South Africa, 23–25 November 2005) J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 39 issue 32
- [11] Prodan E, Garcia S R and Putinar M 2006 Norm estimates of complex symmetric operators applied to quantum systems J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 389–400
- [12] Shin K C 2002 On the reality of the eigenvalues for a class of PT-symmetric oscillators Commun. Math. Phys. 229 543-64
- [13] Dorey P, Dunning C and Tateo R 2001 Spectral equivalences, Bethe ansatz equations, and reality properties in PT-symmetric quantum mechanics J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 5679–704

- [14] Dorey P E, Dunning C and Tateo R 2001 Supersymmetry and the spontaneous breakdown of PT symmetry J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L391–400
- [15] Caliceti E, Graffi S and Sjöstrand J 2005 Spectra of *PT*-symmetric operators and perturbation theory *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 38 185–93
- [16] Caliceti E and Graffi S 2005 On a class of non-self-adjoint quantum non-linear oscillators with real spectrum J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 138–45
- [17] Kretschmer R and Szymanovski L 2003 Pseudo-hermiticity in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces Preprint quant-ph/0305123
- [18] Mostafazadeh A 2002 Pseudo-hermiticity versus PT symmetry: The necessary condition for the reality of the spectrum of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian J. Math. Phys. 43 205–12

Mostafazadeh A 2002 Pseudo-hermiticity versus PT-symmetry: II. A complete characterization of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with a real spectrum *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 2814–6

Mostafazadeh A 2002 Pseudo-Hermiticity versus PT-symmetry: III. Equivalence of pseudo-hermiticity and the presence of antilinear symmetries *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 3944–51

- [19] Weigert S 2003 Completeness and orthonormality in PT-symmetric quantum systems *Phys. Rev.* A 68 06211–5
- [20] Mostafazadeh A 2002 Pseudo-hermiticity for a class of non-diagonalizable Hamiltonians J. Math. Phys. 43 6342–52
- [21] Andrianov A A, Cannata F and Sokolov A V 2007 Non-linear supersymmetry for non-Hermitian, nondiagonalizable Hamiltonians: I. General properties *Nucl. Phys. B* 773 107–36
- [22] Cannata F, Joffe M V and Nishnianidze D N 2007 Pseudo-hermiticity of an exactly solvable two-dimensional model *Preprint* hep- th/0704.2219v1
- [23] Scolarici G and Solombrino L 2003 On the pseudo-Hermitian nondiagonalizable Hamiltonians J. Math. Phys. 44 4450–9
- [24] Garcia S R and Putinar M 2006 Complex symmetric operators and applications Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 358 1285–315
- [25] Garcia S R and Putinar M 2007 Complex symmetric operators and applications: II. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 359 3913–31
- [26] Caliceti E 2004 Real spectra of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric operators and perturbation theory Czech. J. Phys. 54 1065–8
- [27] Bargmann V 1961 On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 14 187–214
- [28] Bender C M and Dunne G V 1999 Large-order perturbation theory for a non-Hermitian PT-symmetric Hamiltonian J. Math. Phys. 40 4616–21
- [29] Bender C M and Weniger E J 2001 Numerical evidence that the perturbation expansion for a Non-Hermitian PT-symmetric Hamiltonian is stieltjes J. Math. Phys. 42 2167–83
- [30] Reed M and Simon B 1978 Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics vol 4 (New York: Academic)
- [31] Kato T 1976 Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators 2nd edn (Berlin: Springer)